UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,675	07/20/2006	Ekkehard Mueh	288839US0PCT	8067
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			MILLER, JR, JOSEPH ALBERT	
ALEXANDRIA	XANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1715	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/586,675	MUEH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		JOSEPH MILLER JR	1715				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed  rom the mailing date of this concome (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Fe	ebruary 2011					
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	·	,,					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-3, 6-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
,							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	, ,			
,—	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	•	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 110	1(a) (d) or (f)				
•	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
		• • •	<u></u>	04			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eived in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	ary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	al Pate al Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicants have amended claims to exclude the species previously selected, therefore the species selection related to the silicon compound is withdrawn as a result.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Levert (2001/0036749).

Levert teaches the formation of silica (i.e. SiO2) using an alkoxysilane [0082-92]. Per claims 1, 3, and 7, Smith teaches particularly that silica may be deposited from an arylalkoxysilane such as phenyltriethoxysilane.

Regarding the film content, silica formed from a precursor including carbon would be expected to include carbon. Levert's teaching that silica formed by CVD of the same reactants has carbon therein [0090-92].

Further regarding <u>claim 1 as well as claims 6 and 8</u>, the layer is a dielectric layer formed on a semiconductor device / IC (i.e. chip) [0002-3].

Regarding claim 2, the process is a CVD process [0082].

Further regarding claim 8, the layer is formed on a silicon wafer [0013, 0100].

Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitchener (4,845,054).

Mitchener teaches the use of trimethyoxysilane or triethoxysilane via CVD to deposit SiO2 based films (col 2, lies 19-60; Examples 2 and 3).

The film would be understood to contain some carbon (col 6, lines 20-24), the amount of carbon with these precursors is reduced compared to TEOS.

Further regarding <u>claim 1 and also claims 6 and 8</u>, the SiO2 layer is a dielectric (i.e. insulator) on a silicon wafer (i.e. "chip") (col 3, lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 2, the method is CVD.

Regarding claim 3, trimethyoxysilane or triethoxysilane is taught per above.

Further regarding claim 8, Mitchener teaches the formation of SiO2 on a silicon wafer (i.e. "chip") (col 3, lines 25-30).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitchener (4,845,054) in view of Smith (6,022,812).

Mitchener teaches the formation of SiO2 films using various precursors as described above, but not specifically the use of the compounds of claim 7.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,675 Page 4

Art Unit: 1715

Smith teaches particularly that silica may be vapor-deposited from an arylalkoxysilane such as triethoxysilane or phenyltriethoxysilane (col 3, lines 20-38).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the use of phenytriethoxysilane as taught by Smith instead of the triethoxysilane of Mitchener as Smith teaches that either of the precursors is viable for vapor phase deposition processes.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 6-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicants have overcome the previous rejection by cancellation of the elected species, per MPEP 803.02, this action is being made final.

Applicants have overcome the 112 rejection and objection by amendment.

Andideh and/or Komada do not teach the process with the amended list of reactants, however the formation of a silica film is described as per above by Smith and/or Mitchener.

The teachings of Smith (6,022,812), forming a silica layer using an alkoxysilane are also of note but not specifically relied on (col 3, lines 20-38).

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/586,675

Art Unit: 1715

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSEPH MILLER JR whose telephone number is (571)270-5825. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 7am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,675 Page 6

Art Unit: 1715

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JOSEPH MILLER JR/ Examiner, Art Unit 1715

/Timothy H Meeks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1715